

The ACT MOOC

Massive training materials: Possibilities and challenges

Aline Remael, Isabelle Robert & Gert Vercauteren
TricS Research Group – University of Antwerp

Languages & The Media 11
4 November 2016

Contents

- General Background of the ACT MOOC
- Pedagogical Challenges of MOOC Design
- Possible Solutions on the Macro Level
- Possible Solutions on the Micro Level – An Example

IO 4 – The ACT MOOC

- The aim of the MOOC is to create a **training course** for the function of “**accessibility manager**”.
- The MOOC will be **complementary learning material** for the course which will be designed by the partners of the project
- The MOOC is an **open** course MOOC which targets any interested person who wishes to be **informed and trained on media accessibility**

The Different Types of MOOCs

Literature predominantly makes a distinction between two main types of MOOCs (Guàrdia, L., et al. (2013); Lackner, E., et al. (2014):

- **xMOOC**: more traditional approach. Teacher = most important source of knowledge.
- **cMOOC**: based on the principles of 'connectivism' = knowledge created and generated through learners' networks and personal learning environments.

=> Distinction focuses on **HOW** knowledge is generated & transferred

The Different Types of MOOCs

“If you are not designing for someone, then you are designing for no-one”
(Mor & Warburton, 2015)

- **Academic MOOC:** (primarily) aimed at **undergraduate** students who (primarily) want to acquire credits in a degree course
- **Professional MOOC:** (primarily) aimed at **professional** learners who work on their (continuous) professional development

=> Distinction focuses on **WHO** the knowledge is aimed at

The ACT MOOC

- **Professional MOOC** aimed at people who work in cultural venues and want to develop the necessary skills to become an accessibility manager
- **Combination of xMOOC and cMOOC:** some knowledge transferred by instructors, but to a higher extent relying on the existing knowledge, experience (and curiosity) of the participants themselves

Challenging Issues - Attrition

Drop-out between 85% & 97% [(Fidalgo-Blanco, et al. (2014)); (Gütl, et al. 2014)]

Academic reasons

- Programme was *too difficult* or *not challenging enough*;
- Classes are *poorly taught*;
- Course is *poorly designed*;
- *No or insufficient support and feedback* on assignments and assessments

Learning environment reasons

- *Not enough interaction* with instructors;
- Learning environment was *not personalised*

Personal reasons

- *Not enough time* to complete the MOOC

(Gütl, et al. 2014)

Challenging Issues - Contact

⇒ MOOCs regularly attract 10,000s (up to even 150,000) participants [(Mackness, et al.2013), Boyatt, et al. (2014)]

⇒ Implications for contact between instructors and participants on various levels

⇒ Online so no face-to-face contact;

⇒ Extreme instructor-participant ratio: no or very little personal feedback

⇒ Feeling of a lack of social presence, no real “teacher presence”

Challenging Issues - Assessment

=> Assessment often named the 'weakest point' of the MOOC [Bali (2014); Gütl, et al. (2014); Luo, et al. (2014)]

⇒ Quizzes as the only form of assessment: only test recall, not understanding or higher order thinking (cf. Bloom's Taxonomy)

⇒ No feedback at all on assessments (cf. Seven Principles of Good Practice in Undergraduate Education (Chickering and Gamson (1987)));

⇒ Peer grading without clear instructions

Solutions on the Macro Level

- Provide clear guidelines from the outset
 - ⇒ General guideline on what to expect from a MOOC;
 - ⇒ General document explaining the structure & pedagogical design of the MOOC;
 - ⇒ General guideline on (peer) assessment;
 - ⇒ General guideline on netiquette (use of forums and blogs)
- Provide a scaffolded design
- Create “progress bars” in the participants’ profiles
- Lower the workload by presenting 1 unit every two weeks (rather than every single week)
- Provide for biweekly “online office hours” / “online seminars”
- Provide dedicated forums and blogs (with weekly digests)

Solutions on the Micro Level

- Allow participants to determine their own learning path / objectives
- Capitalise on diversity (previous knowledge and experience)
- Stimulate discussion within and outside the MOOC
- Allow participants to reflect on the knowledge gained in the course and apply it to their work practice
- Maximise productivity by setting authentic tasks, creating groups of learners with similar backgrounds and groups of experts coaching less advanced learners
- Stimulate Bloom's higher levels of learning through key MOOC activities (aggregation, remixing, repurposing, feeding forward)

(based on Littlejohn & Milligan (2014, 2015), Mackness, et al. (2015))

An Example from the ACT MOOC (I)

Module 'Communication' – WEEK 1

Activity 1 – The ACT Video

Participants watch a scene from the ACT video telling the story of a disabled person going to a live event. A visually impaired person went to a live event and tells his deaf friend about it, who is surprised because he didn't know about the event and wanted to go.

Assignment 1 – Reflection

What are the possible reasons the deaf friend didn't know about the event and how can they be solved?

An Example from the ACT MOOC (II)

Activity 2 – Instructional Video

Watch the instructional video “Communication for Access” which explains what has to be taken into account when communicating with/for disabled people.

Assignment 2 – Knowledge Quiz

During and after the video participants answer multiple choice questions on the topic of the module.

An Example from the ACT MOOC (III)

Assignment 3 – Individual Inquiry - OPTIONAL

Go to the website of your venue (or a venue of your choice) and see what it says about accessibility. What elements are mentioned and what are still missing? Is the website in itself accessible?

Activity 3 – Further Reading

Participants are referred to documents and/or websites dealing with accessible websites (e.g. www.anysurfer.be – or equivalent)

An Example from the ACT MOOC (IV)

Module 'Communication' – WEEK 2

Activity 4 – Online Seminar/Office Hours

The participants take part in a synchronous live online session in which a) an expert or a user talks about the particular topic of the Unit (i.e. communication) and b) participants can take part in a Q&A session on the topic/concepts of the Unit.

Assignment 4 – Group Work

Create a 'communication checklist' that makes sure you (can) reach all the different target groups.

References

- Boyatt, R., Joy, M., Rocks, C., Sinclair, J. What (use) is a MOOC? L. Uden et al. (eds.), *The 2nd International Workshop on Learning Technology for Education in Cloud*. Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht
- Chickering, A. W., Gamson, Z. F. (1987). Seven principles for good practice in undergraduate education. *AAHE Bulletin*, 39(7), 3-7.
- Fidalgo-Blanco, Á., García-Peñalvo, F. J., Sein-Echaluce, M-L., Escaño, J. E., (2014). Improving the MOOC learning outcomes throughout informal learning activities. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2669711.2669963>
- Guàrdia, L., Maina, M., Sangrà, A. (2013). MOOC design principles. A pedagogical approach from the learner's perspective. *eLearning Papers*. Barcelona: elearningeuropa.info.
- Gütl, C., Hernández Rizzardini, R., Chang, V., Morales, M. (2014). Attrition in MOOC. Lessons learned from drop-out students. L. Uden et al. (Eds.): LTEC 2014, CCIS 446, pp. 37–48, 2014. Springer International Publishing Switzerland.
- Lackner, E., Kopp, M., Ebner, M. (2014). How to MOOC? – A pedagogical guideline for practitioners. Roceanu, I. (ed.) *Proceedings of the 10th International Scientific Conference "eLearning and Software for Education"* Bucharest, April 24 - 25, 2014. Editura Universitatii Nationale de Aparare "Carol I".

References

- Littlejohn, A., Milligan, C. (2014). Supporting professional learning in a massive open online course. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning* 15 (5) 197-213.
- Littlejohn, A., Milligan, C. (2015). Designin MOOCs for professional learners. Tools and patterns to encourage self-regulated learning. *eLearning Papers*. Barcelona: elearningeuropa.info.
- Mackness, J., Waite, M., Roberts, G., Lovegrove E. (2013). Learning a small, task-oriented connectivist MOOC. *Pedagogical Issues and Implications for Higher Education*
- Mor, Y., Warburton, S. (2015). *Design a MOOC in a SNaP*. Workshop delivered at the ECTEL Conference on 15 September 2015. Toledo

Disclaimer

The content of this presentation does not reflect the official opinions of the European Union. Responsibility for the information and views expressed in this presentation lies entirely with the authors.

Follow us and give us feed-back

<http://www.actproject.eu/>

<https://www.facebook.com/actproject4culture/>