Measuring quality: chances and challenges

About the approach of developing a model to evaluate the quality of German film subtitles

Contents

- Defining quality criteria: the challenges
- Defining quality criteria: the chances
- Conclusion:
 the prospects
- Bibliography

Challenges

Three main challenges

- 1. There are challenges related to readability.
- 2. There are challenges related to the source and target text's function.
- 3. There are challenges related to information transfer, the oral vs. written discourse.

Readability

- Subtitles need to be in sync.
- The in- and out-timecodes need to start/end after/before the shot change.
- Subtitles should be displayed for at least 1 sec. but no longer than 7 sec.

(Künzli 2017: 116-117)

Text function: source text

- "To move the storyline forward."
- "To reveal aspects of character not otherwise seen."
- "To present exposition and particulars of past events."
- "To set the tone for the film."

(Blacker 1996: 5-16)

Text function: target text

- The target text's main function is to enable the audience to follow and comprehend the film (cf. Künzli 2017: 157).
- Viewers should spend as little time possible reading subtitles and as much time possible enjoying the visual experience.

Oral vs. written discourse

- The speed at which we speak varies, our reading speed does not.
- Some words might be acceptable in speech but not in writing.
- Speech is marked by redundancies, written texts by cohesion/coherence.

(Reinart 2014: 276)

Quality criteria: meaning, style and readability

- Meaning refers to the information transfer from language A into B.
- Style refers to the usage of the appropriate register, mode and tone.
- Readability refers to the technical norms and constraints.

(Künzli 2017: 116-117, Díaz Cintas 2014: 55-90)

Quality criteria under scrutiny

- There are currently two subtitling methods being worked with in the subtitling industry.
- Method 1: The translators are provided with a template.
- Method 2: The translators time and spot their subtitles.

Method 1: Translation with template

- Templates were created when companies needed to find a way to produce subtitle files "in increasingly short turnarounds, using cost-effective methods".
- Companies are able to "manage and check all files centrally".

(Georgakopoulou 2006: 117)

Method 2: Translation + timing and spotting

- Method 2 includes the linguistic transfer and timing and spotting.
- Whereas the translation with template might be a faster way of producing subtitles, the translation without template might give translators more flexibility.

Chances

The approach

There are two groups of students creating subtitles.

- Group 1 creates subtitles using a template.
- Group 2 creates subtitles by timing and spotting their translation.

The evaluation

The subtitles created by both groups are evaluated using the quality criteria previously identified:

- meaning,
- style
- and readability.

Prospects

The prospects

- The evaluation of the subtitles show how quality criteria are related.
- Having viewers judge if they prefer the subtitles generated by method 1 or 2 gives further input into those quality criteria that should ultimately form a model for quality evaluation.

- Blacker, I. (1986): The Elements of Screenwriting: A Guide for Film and Television Writers. New York: Macmillan, pp. 5-16.
- Díaz Cintas, J. & A. Remael (2014): Translation Practices Explained. London & New York: Routledge.

- Georgakopoulou, P. (2006): "Subtitling and Globalisation." In: JoSTrans (The Journal of Specialised Translation; 06). http://www.jostrans.org/issue06/art_g eorgakopoulou.php [accessed: 18.05.2018].
- Künzli, A. (2017): Die Untertitelung von der Produktion zur Rezeption. Berlin: Frank & Timme Verlag.

- Reinart, S. (2014): Lost in translation (criticism)?: auf dem Weg zu einer konstruktiven Übersetzungskritik. Berlin: Frank & Timme.
- Vermeer, H. J. & K. Reiß (1984): Grundlegung einer allgemeinen Translationstheorie. Tübingen: Niemeyer.